1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	United States District Court
9	Eastern District of California
10	
11	
12	Francisco Herra Lopez,
13	Plaintiff, Civ. No. S-05-0290 MCE PAN P
14	vs. Order on Request for Counsel
15	R. Franco, et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	-000-
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma
19	pauperis in a civil rights action. Plaintiff moved for
20	appointment of counsel March 21, 2005.
21	In proceedings that do not threaten a litigant with loss of
22	physical liberty, there presumptively is no right to appointed
23	counsel. <u>Lassiter v. Department of Social Services</u> , 452 U.S. 18,
24	26-27 (1981). Section 1915(e)(1) of Title 28 confers discretion
25	upon the court to request counsel represent an indigent civil
26	litigant. <u>Mallard v. District Court</u> , 490 U.S. 296 (1989).

In deciding whether to appoint counsel the court exercises
discretion governed by a number of factors, including the
likelihood of success on the merits and the applicant's ability
to present his claims in light of their complexity. Weygandt v .
<u>Look</u> , 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983); <u>see</u> <u>also</u> , <u>LaMere v.</u>
<u>Risley</u> , 827 F.2d 622, 626 (9th Cir. 1987). Ordinarily the
presumption of regularity in the state's procedures for confining
prisoners suggests a lack of likely success and counsels against
appointment of counsel. See Maclin v. Freake, 650 F.2d 885, 887
(7th Cir. 1981). As a general rule, the court will not appoint
counsel unless the applicant shows his claim has merit in fact
and law. <u>Id.</u> Even if the applicant overcomes this hurdle, the
court will not appoint counsel if the law is settled and the
material facts are within the plaintiff's possession, viz., they
do not require investigation outside the prison walls. <u>Id.</u> at
887-88.

The court has determined plaintiff must amend his February 14, 2005, pleading, and so it is impossible to evaluate these factors at present.

Accordingly, plaintiff's March 21, 2005, request for the appointment of counsel therefore is denied without prejudice to renewal later in these proceedings.

So ordered.

Dated: July 12, 2005.

/s/ Peter A. Nowinski PETER A. NOWINSKI Magistrate Judge